UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,358	04/20/2005	Alagarsamy Sundararajan	WOM-15	1578
²⁶⁶⁰⁴ KENN ETH L. I	7590 08/18/200 NASH	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 6801		BEACH, THOMAS A		
HOUSTON, TX 77268-0106			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/532,358	SUNDARARAJAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	THOMAS A. BEACH	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Ju</u>	ılv 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 24-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 24-30</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/532,358 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

1. The finality of the last Office action is withdrawn and the new rejection presented below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dare et al 4,215,749 alone. Dare shows lightweight subsea intervention package for use in servicing a subsea well, said subsea well comprising at least one of a vertical Christmas tree or a horizontal Christmas tree, said subsea intervention package being operable for containing said subsea well while using at least one of tubing, coiled tubing, or wireline during said servicing of said subsea wells, said subsea intervention package having a lower package 14 attachable to said subsea well regardless of whether said subsea well comprises said vertical Christmas tree or said horizontal Christmas tree, said lower package comprising at least two hydraulically actuated gate valves 52 (fig 1), at least one of said at least two hydraulically actuated gate valves being operable for cutting 90 said tubing (fig 2-3), coiled tubing, or wireline and then closing to form a seal for sealing said subsea well, at least one of said at least two hydraulically actuated gate valves is operable for repeated severing of tubulars of at least 2 ¾ inches without need for

Application/Control Number: 10/532,358 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

maintenance, said lower package weighs between ten and thirty tons, and said lower package defining a bore through said at least two hydraulically actuated gate valves (fig 1-3). Dare does not disclose the specific dimensions and weight of claim 29 including two hydraulically actuated gate valves which is greater than seven inches, tubulars of at least 2 ¾ inches or lower package weighs between ten and thirty tons; however, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the Dare to include the ranges of since applicant has not disclosed that these ranges solve any particular problem or purpose and it appears other similar ranges would work equally well. Furthermore, routine experimentation would lead one of ordinary skill in the art to these ranges.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Beach whose telephone number is 571.272.6988. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,358 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 571.272.6998. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas A. Beach

/Thomas A Beach/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671

August 18, 2008

THOMAS A. BEACH Primary Examiner Group 3600